IC 75-1378 25 February 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR:	D/DCI/IC
SUBJECT:	Memo on Technology Exchange Problem of 21 February 1975
PRD prepare an ambition that the Intelligence Con	ent on this paper because (a) it proposes that ous study and (b) I disagree with the notion nmunity has a major role to play in this d, though not sanctioned, by
IC Staff) are roughly bas None of the issues raise studies, proposals,	per correctly, we (the Community and it where we started more than a year ago. I do not think is going to advance matters any further.
to be, as puts it, " technology losses, and, manner?" It is hard for still advocate precisely things,/perforce involve	Should the Community have a role in policing if so, through what channels and in what me to imagine that some USIB agencies such a role, a role which, among other the Community in what could only be intelligence activity. Policing technology

4. Even aside from this sensitive issue, such a service is not in accord with the traditions and the objectives of the US Intelligence Community. Further, I can not imagine any of our consumers assuming that such an activity is within the purview of, e.g., CIA and the DCI.

losses implies controls on US exporters of technology, and I would

Approved For Release 2004/06/14: CIA-RDP80M01133A00060009003 -0

say that this is clearly verboten.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25**X**1

5. And, beyond this, even were all the above objections	1
overruled, I cannot imagine any bigger bottomless can of worms	
who would police and how, and, pray tell, with what resources?	
The state of the s	
6. There are, however, several other issues addressed by	
which are legitimate and which the Community	
must ponder: (a) Should the Community become involved in a net	İ
must ponder: (2) Should the Community become involved in a new	
assessment function with respect to military-related technology"	ļ
(b) How does the Community keep abreast of what is going on in	
the area of technological exchanges? (c)	
(d) Should the Community seek to exploit collection	
opportunities offered the increasing number of Soviet visitors to	
the US?	
7. I do not think any of these questions require deep study.	
Although I cannot say how any additional responsibilities can best	
be assumed, I think it is clear that the Community (e.g., the NIOs)	
should assist the development of net assessments; that the Com-	•
munity must make a larger effort to keep abreast of what is going	
	25X1
Girj	25/
and certainly the Community should seek to exploit the growin.	
and certainty the Community should seek to expect the	25X1
number of Soviet visitors to this country	25/
	25X1
regular USIB committee or not is beyond my ken. I should think	
that matter could best be resolved by you, in accordance with your	
notions about the USIB community structure in general. Again, if	
I may drive this point into the ground, this will not require an	
·	
IC Staff study.	
	051/4
	25X1
which suggests that the issue could be touchy, either, as he says, because	
start it could be construed to impinge on detente, or because it could	

SECRET Approved For Release 2004/06/14 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000600090031-0

be seen as interference in policy in general (by State, Treasury, and Commerce), and because of the sensitive domestic political implications alluded to at the outset of this memorandum.

Chief, PRD/IC

25X1

Distribution:

Original - D/DCI/IC

1 IC Registry

1 - PRD Chrono

1 - RWS Chrono

1 - RWS Chron

25X1

DCI/IC-75-0539 2 1 FEB 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: D/DCI/IC

SUBJECT

Talking Paper on the Technology

Exchange Problem

1. FACTS BEARING ON THE PROBLEM

- a. The U.S. policy decision to actively promote technology exchanges with and exports to the USSR has been made. It can be argued that these exchanges and commercial protocols with the USSR are a primary detente foundation.
- b. Because of the US/USSR bilateral agreements and protocols, the scope of the exchange program has significantly expanded and the number of Soviet visitors to the United States has multiplied.
- c. The State Department has decentralized its method for handling exchange matters. There is no longer a real Exchange Staff, as in the past, and the Intelligence Community representatives no longer have the close contact which once existed with officials dealing with exchange problems.
- d. Eleven executive agencies currently are responsible for monitoring the numerous scientific and technical agreements.

- f. Management of technology flow from the United States is primarily a Department of Commerce responsibility.
- g. The State Department has no authority to refuse commercial visits.

Approved For Release 2004/06714. CA-RDP80M01133A000600090031-0

^	PR17 PP4		
2.	THE	PROBLEM	

a. In the face of the explosively expanding flow of U.S. technology and products to the USSR and its allies, what is the proper role of the Intelligence Community?

Should the Community have a role in policing technology losses, and, if so, through what channels and in what manner?

Should the Community become involved in a net assessment function with respect to military related technology, with emphasis on the high technology products and production know-how which are especially important for strategic military programs?

If the Intelligence Community is not to be involved in net or damage assessments and in support of measures where needed to stem or control the export of militarily important U.S. technology and technological data, where does the responsibility really lie?

Should the Intelligence Community respond only to State Department requests for information, but otherwise remain passive concerning the impact of technology losses through exchanges?

In a situation as dynamic as the current exchange program, how does the Community keep abreast of what is going on?

SECRET

25X1

25X1

Should the Community aggressively seek to exploit collection opportunities posed by the increasing numbers of Scviet visitors to the United States?

The Intelligence Community exists primarily for its contribution to the national security and welfare—and the basic problem in the technology exchange field is how Community judgments can be developed and made relevant to the U.S. decision—making process.

0000
Office M be
celligence
the
ad hoc but not
es of the
lore the
portant to

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/06/19 61 FDP80M01133A000600090031-0

5X1	e. All of the foregoing should be considered, but it might well be that the proper first step would be the preparation of an IC study which goes into the paper which goes into the problem area more deeply than has been done in this memorandum. If this is done, I suggest that of PRD be so tasked.
5X1	4. Overall, I think the important thing is that a determination be reached as to the proper role of the Intelligence Community
5X1	can be given as to whether should become a permanent USIB committee or some other organizational mechanism be devised to enable the Community to address its role.
	5. The situation is undoubtedly going to be touchy, since the impression can develop that the Intelligence Communityif it seeks to enlarge its rolemay tend to undermine detente arrangements. This aspect of the situation will have to be carefully addressed.
	Chief
	Coordination Staff, ICS
5X1	Distribution; 0 - Adsee. 1 - PRD/ICS
5X1	1 - Subject 1 - Chrono 1 - Chrono 1 - IC Registry
5X1	DCI/ICS. (21 Feb 75)